

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/721,884	1	1/26/2003	Henri Kamdem	11016-0024 5997	
22902	7590	05/03/2006		EXAMINER	
CLARK &		NITT NOT	BINDA, GREGORY JOHN		
1090 VERM SUITE 250	IONIAVE	NUE, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHING	WASHINGTON, DC 20005				<u> </u>
				DATE MAILED: 05/03/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•		10/721,884	KAMDEM ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Greg Binda	3679				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app		correspondence address				
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	VIQ CET TO EVOIDE 2 MONTH	I(S) OP THIRTY (20) DAVS				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be the triple and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 M	arch 2006.					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims	,					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>2-15</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 3,4,7,8 and 14 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·	Claim(s) <u>2,5,9,11-13 and 15</u> is/are rejected.						
•	Claim(s) <u>6 and 10</u> is/are objected to.						
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 31 March 2006 is/are:	a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected	to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority document.		a)-(d) or (f).				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		tion No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receiv	ved in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* (	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	red.				
Attachmer	nt(s)						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa					
2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail (					

Application/Control Number: 10/721,884 Page 2

Art Unit: 3679

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

# Response to Amendment

2. In the amendment filed March 31, 2006 applicant failed to address how the claims avoid or distinguish from the prior art to Hauck, US 2003/0186749. Applicant should submit an argument under the heading "Remarks" pointing out disagreements with the examiner's contentions.

#### Election/Restrictions

3. Claims 3, 4, 7, 8 & 14 have been withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election of Species I shown in Figs. 1-6 was made without traverse in the reply filed on February 11, 2005.

### **Drawings**

4. The replacement drawings filed received on March 31, 2006 are objected because Fig. 11 provided therein fails to match its description at page 10 (as amended). The drawing has reference numerals 3, 4, 2" and 20e', but the description cites reference numerals 3', 4', 20e', 22i' and 31'.

Application/Control Number: 10/721,884 Page 3

Art Unit: 3679

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 5. Claims 5, 13 & 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hewel, US 1,775,556. Figs. 1-4 show a decoupling element 3 and two support power transmission combination, the decoupling element 15 made of deformable material and interposed between the faces of two supports 12 & 14 of a drive device having a central axis of rotation, one of the supports adapted to be driven, the decoupling element adapted to transmit power from one of the supports to the other support, the other support adapted for further power transmission, the decoupling element being formed by a ring comprising a central core and two opposite faces where each face has abrupt projections 17 & 19 meshed together with complementary abrupt projections 18 & 20 on opposing faces of the supports.
- 6. Claims 5, 9, 11, 13 & 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Krueger et al, US 2,539,630. Figs. 1-3 show a decoupling element 16 and two support power transmission combination, the decoupling element 16 made of deformable material and interposed between the faces of two supports 14 & 20 of a drive device having a central axis of rotation, one of the supports adapted to be driven, the decoupling element adapted to transmit power from one of the supports to the other support, the other support adapted for further power transmission, the decoupling element being formed by a ring comprising a central core and two opposite faces where the outer peripheral face has abrupt projections meshed together with complementary abrupt projections 25 on an opposing face of the support 20.

Application/Control Number: 10/721,884 Page 4

Art Unit: 3679

7. Claims 2, 5, 12, 13 & 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hauck. Fig. 5 shows a combination comprising all the limitations of the claims.

## Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 6 & 10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Greg Binda whose telephone number is (571) 272-7077. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30 am to 7:00 pm with alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on (571) 272-7087. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

GREGORY J. BINDA PRIMARY EXAMINER